# Identification of a Species of *Coilia*(Engraulidae) Distributed in Ariake Sound

#### Toru Takita

(Received February 13, 1978)

In Japan, reliable records of *Coilia* are confined to Ariake Sound in western Kyushu and rivers which flow into the sound. Two species of the genus, *C. mystus* (Linnaeus, 1758) and *C. ectenes* Jordan et Seale, 1905, have been previously recorded from Ariake Sound (Yoshida, 1935; Uchida and Tsukahara, 1955) and the Yabe and Chikugo Rivers (Tsukahara, 1951). However, Takita (1967a, b) has reported that only one species of the genus inhabits Ariake Sound including the lower Chikugo River. Its characters differ from either of the two species reported earlier, but species identification was not confirmed.

In this study, the type specimens of *C. nasus* and *C. ectenes* were re-examined, and the former was compared with the characters of *C. mystus* and *C. ectenes*, confirming that the species in Ariake Sound is *C. nasus*. The occurrence of *C. nasus* in China, Korea, and adjacent waters is also reviewed here.

Coilia nasus Temminck et Schlegel, 1846 Japanese name: Etsu (proposed by Jordan and Herre, 1906)

Collia nasus Temminck and Schlegel, 1846: 243, pl. 109, fig. 4.

Coilia nasus; Ishikawa and Matsuura, 1897: 9, list (Chikugo, Ariake Sound); Jordan, Tanaka, and Snyder, 1913: 39, list (Kiusiu); Izuka and Matsuura, 1920: 183, list (Chikugo).

Coilia mystus (not of Linnaeus, 1758); Tanaka, 1931: 9, synonymization of C. nasus to C. mystus without explanation (Ariake Sound with Yatsushiro Sound); Tanaka, 1933: 39, list (Ariake Sound); Tsukahara, 1951: 290, brief note on the occurrence (Chikugo and Yabe Rivers); Uchida and Tsukahara, 1955: 295, list (Ariake Sound); Matsubara, 1955: 197, key (southern Japan).

Coilia ectenes (not of Jordan and Seale, 1905); Jordan and Hubbs, 1925: 122, counts and measurements of a single specimen (Fukuoka); Yoshida, 1935: 788~789, fig. 8, drawings and myomere counts of the postlarvae (Ariake Sound); Ikeda, 1937: 108~109, fig. 1, list and a photograph (Chikugo River); Tsukahara, 1951: 290, list (Chikugo and Yabe Rivers); Uchida and Tsukahara, 1955: 295, list (Ariake Sound); Matsubara, 1955: 197, key (Chikugo River).

# Negative synonyms:

Coilia nasus (not of Temminck and Schlegel, 1846); Jordan and Starks, 1906: 516 (Port Arthur and Manchuria); Jordan and Herre, 1906: 640 (China and Korea); Fowler, 1924: 373~374 (Ningkwo); Fowler, 1931: 207 (Hong Kong, Amoy, Newchwang, Kiating, Ningpo, Kiukiang, Shanghai, Tientsin); Wu, 1929: 25~26 (Amoy); Tchang, 1938: 325~327 (Peitaiho, Tangku, Chefoo, Yingko, Tungchow, Tientsin, Nanking, Kuangkiang, Hunan, Wuchang, Kwangtung); Wang, 1958: 89 (Chan Jiang).

Coilia nasus Temminck and Schlegel, 1846 was originally described based on a fish from Japan. Meristic characters of type specimens of C. nasus (Cat. No. 3367a (lectotype) and 3367b (paratype)) in the Museum of Natural History at Leiden and specimens of Coilia from Ariake Sound (Takita, 1967a) were compared (Table 1). The characters of the type specimens of C. nasus in this table are based on a re-examination by Dr. M. Boeseman on the request of the author. All the counts of the fish from Ariake Sound correspond with those of the type specimens. Since there are no other waters in Japan where

Table 1. Meristic characters of type specimens of *Coilia nasus* and *Coilia* from Ariake Sound. Numbers in parentheses show the mean counts.

|                   | Type C    | The fish from |                     |  |
|-------------------|-----------|---------------|---------------------|--|
|                   | Lectotype | Sound         |                     |  |
| Standard length   |           |               |                     |  |
| (mm)              | 255       | 255           | $204 \sim 368$      |  |
| Gill-rakers       | _         |               | 17~19+              |  |
|                   |           |               | $21 \sim 25$        |  |
| Anal fin rays     | 84 or 85  | 85 or 86      | $81 \sim 97 (89)$   |  |
| Scales            | 75        | 76            | $70 \sim 79 \ (75)$ |  |
| Scutes            | 47        | 46            | $40 \sim 52 (46)$   |  |
| Vertebrae includi | ng        |               |                     |  |
| urostyle          | 75        | 76            | 71~81 (76)          |  |

Coilia is known, it is almost certain that the type specimens were caught in Ariake Sound.

Some early records of C. mystus in Japan (Jordan and Seale, 1926: Tanaka, 1931) are due to the synonymization of C. nasus with C. mystus. C. nasus from Ariake Sound corresponds to the original description of C. mystus in the number of anal fin rays, but nothing else in the original description can confirm the identity. According to most of the descriptions of C. mystus collected from China and Korea (Jordan and Seale, 1926; Fowler, 1931: Yoshida, 1935: Chyung, 1961: Wang, 1963; Cheng et al., 1964; Anonymous, 1976), these two species do not correspond in the numbers of scales, scutes, and vertebrae, suggesting that they are two different species. Confusion between C. nasus and C. mystus in Japan seems to be partly due to the identification of Coilia specimens using only anal fin ray counts.

Coilia ectenes was originally described with an error in the number of anal fin ray counts (Jordan and Starks, 1906; Jordan and Hubbs, 1925), and the number of scales in the original description is inaccurate. On the request of the author, the holotype of C. ectenes (Cat. No. 52077) in the U. S. National Museum was re-examined by Mr. Robert H. Kanazawa of the museum as follows. Anal fin rays, 110; scales, unable to count, most of the scales missing; scutes, 48; vertebrae, 78; gill-rakers, 18/24. Comparison of the characters between C. nasus and C. ectenes reveals a difference in the anal fin ray counts, but no other differences were found.

In descriptions of *C. ectenes* from China and Korea, only that from Korea by Yoshida (1935) includes statistical results, giving the frequency distribution of every count. The number of specimens examined and the mean value and standard deviation of each count of *C. ectenes* were calculated from Yoshida's graphs and com-

pared statistically (t-test) with *C. nasus* from Ariake Sound (Table 2). Although there are large overlaps in the counts of vertebrae, scales, and scutes, the difference is statistically significant at the 99% confidence. The correspondence of the two species in anal fin ray counts is very small.

Although the difference between *C. nasus* and *C. ectenes* is small, and morphological and biogeographical examinations of many specimens from China and Korea are still needed to determine their specific status, these two species are regarded to be different in this paper.

Coilia ectenes from Fukuoka (Jordan and Hubbs, 1925), which is near Ariake Sound, was identified by the examination of only one specimen, and its anal fin ray count is just in the critical range where the counts of C. ectenes and C. nasus overlap each other. The postlarvae of C. ectenes from Ariake Sound reported by Yoshida (1935) were identified on the basis of myomere count, but myomere count without statistical comparison could not have shown a difference from C. nasus. These records of C. ectenes in Japan might be a mistaken identification of C. nasus of Ariake Sound.

Many papers have recorded *C. nasus* from China and Korea. However, most of them did not explain on what basis the identification was made. As far as the author knows, a fish referable to *C. nasus* has not been described from China and Korea. Some of the fish from China and Korea identified as *C. nasus* (Jordan and Starks, 1906; Jordan and Herre, 1906; Fowler, 1931; Wu, 1929; Tchang, 1938; Wang, 1958) correspond to *C. mystus*, and some others (Fowler, 1924; Tchang, 1938) to *C. ectenes* in their morphological characters. Because of the brief and incomplete original description of *C. nasus*, *Coilia* from China and Korea were identified as this species, resulting in the broaden-

Table 2. Meristic characters of *C. nasus* from Ariake Sound (Takita, 1967a) and *C. ectenes* from Korea (Yoshida, 1935).

|               | C. nasus |              |       |      | C. ectenes |              |        |      | Probability of       |
|---------------|----------|--------------|-------|------|------------|--------------|--------|------|----------------------|
|               | n        | Range        | Mean  | SD   | n          | Range        | Mean   | SD   | having the same mean |
| Anal fin rays | 137      | 81~97        | 89.10 | 3.16 | 110        | 91~112       | 102.02 | 3.98 | P<0.001              |
| Scales        | 89       | $70 \sim 79$ | 74.63 | 1.72 | 110        | $71 \sim 78$ | 73.96  | 1.71 | P < 0.01             |
| Scutes        | 92       | $40 \sim 52$ | 46.28 | 2.36 | 109        | 46~55        | 49.32  | 2.24 | P < 0.001            |
| Vertebrae     | 166      | 71~81        | 76.31 | 1.36 | 110        | 75~82        | 78.47  | 1.35 | P < 0.001            |

ing of the distribution of C. nasus outside Japan.

### Acknowledgments

The author wishes to express his sincere gratitude to Dr. M. Boeseman of Rijksmuseum van Natuurlijke Historie, Leiden, and Mr. Robert H. Kanazawa of the U.S. National Museum of Natural History, for their examinations of the type specimens. Thanks are due to Dr. Yoshiaki Tominaga of the University Museum of the University of Tokyo and Tetsushi Senta of the Fisheries Experimental Station, the Faculty of Fisheries, Nagasaki University, for their kind review of the manuscript and for copies of literature. Thanks are also due to Dr. P. J. P. Whitehead of the British Museum, London, for his advice and for copies of literature. Dr. Yoshio Tomoda of the National Science Museum, Tokyo, assisted in obtaining copies of literature. Mr. Kokun Hai of the Fisheries Laboratory, the Faculty of Agriculture, Kyushu University, and Mr. Shuzo Kishida of the Seikai Regional Fisheries Research Laboratory assisted in reading literature in Korean and Chinese, respectively.

#### Literature cited

- Anonymous. 1976. (Fishes of the Chan Jiang). Fish Laboratory, Hupei Aquatic Organisms Research Station. Sci. Publ. Agency, Peking, iv+278 pp., 5+199 figs., 16 pls. (In Chinese).
- Cheng, C., K. Tchang, G. Xu, and W. Cheng. 1964. (Useful animals in China: Sea water fishes). Sci. Publ. Agency, Peking, xii+174 pp., 26 figs., 32 pls. (In Chinese).
- Chyung, M. K. 1961. Illustrated encyclopedia, the fauna of Korea. (2) Fishes. Chung Ang Do Seo Ltd., 861 pp., 240 figs., 311 pls. (In Korean).
- Fowler, H. W. 1924. Some fishes collected by the third Asiatic expedition in China. Bull. Amer. Mus. Nat. Hist., 50: 373 ~ 405, figs. 1 ~ 5.
- Fowler, H. W. 1931. A synopsis of the fishes of China. Part 2. The herrings and related fishes. The Hongkong Naturalist, 2 (3):  $69 \sim 208$ , figs.  $1 \sim 22$ .
- Ikeda, H. 1937. (Fish fauna of the Chikugo River, with notes on the resemblance of the fauna to those of the Asiatic Continent). Mag. Nat. Hist., 35 (60): 108~118, figs. 1~3, pls. 1~2. (In Japanese).
- Ishikawa, C. and K. Matsuura. 1897. Preliminary catalogue of fishes including Dipnoi, Cyclostomi and Cephalochorda in the collection of the Natural

- History Department, Imperial Museum. Imperial Museum, Tokyo, 64 pp. (In Japanese).
- Izuka, A. and K. Matsuura. 1920. Catalogue of the zoological specimens exhibited in the Natural History Department, Tokyo Imperial Museum (Vertebrata). Tokyo Imperial Museum, Tokyo, 192+25+23 pp., 5 pls. (In Japanese).
- Jordan, D. S. and A. C. Herre. 1906. A review of the herring-like fishes of Japan. Proc. U. S. Nat. Mus., 31 (1499): 613~645, figs. 1~5.
- Jordan, D. S. and C. L. Hubbs. 1925. Record of fishes obtained by David Starr Jordan in Japan, 1922. Mem. Carnegie Mus., 10 (2): 93 ~ 346, fig. 1, pls. 1 ~ 9.
- Jordan, D. S. and A. Seale. 1905. List of fishes collected in 1882~83 by Pierre Louis Jouy at Shanghai and Hongkong, China. Proc. U. S. Nat. Mus., 29 (1433): 517~529, figs. 1~6.
- Jordan, D. S. and A. Seale. 1926. Review of the Engraulidae, with descriptions of new and rare species. Bull. Comp. Zool., 67: 359 ~ 362.
- Jordan, D. S. and E. C. Starks. 1906. Notes on a collection of fishes from Port Arthur, Manchuria, obtained by James Francis Abbott. Proc. U. S. Nat. Mus., 31 (1493): 515~526, figs. 1~5.
- Jordan, D. S., S. Tanaka, and J. O. Snyder. 1913. A catalogue of the fishes of Japan. Jour. Fac. Sci. Imp. Univ. Tokyo, 33 art. 1: 1 ~ 497, figs. 1 ~ 396.
- Linnaeus, C. 1758. Systema nature. 10th ed. 824 pp., appendix  $1 \sim 3$ .
- Matsubara, K. 1955. Fish morphology and hierarchy. Part 1. Ishizaki Shoten, Tokyo, 789 pp., 289 figs. (In Japanese).
- Takita, T. 1967a. On the fish of the genus *Coilia* distributed in Ariake Sound. Bull. Fac. Fish. Nagasaki Univ., (22): 45 ~ 56, figs. 1 ~ 8. (In Japanese).
- Takita, T. 1967b. The spawning and the early life history of the engraulid fish *Coilia* sp. distributed in Ariake Sound. Bull. Fac. Fish. Nagasaki Univ., (23): 107~122, figs. 1~4. (In Japanese).
- Tanaka, S. 1931. On the distribution of fishes in Japanese waters. J. Fac. Sci. Imp. Univ. Tokyo, 3 (1): 1~90, pls. 1~3.
- Tanaka, S. 1933. (Consideration on the occurrence of fishes in Ariake Sound). Zool. Mag., 45 (531): 38 ~ 40. (In Japanese).
- Tchang, T. 1938. Some Chinese clupeoid fishes. Bull. Fan Mem. Inst. Biol., Zool., ser 8:  $311 \sim 337$ , figs.  $1 \sim 11$ .
- Temminck, C. J. and H. Schlegel. 1846. Pisces. In Siebold's fauna Japonica. 323 pp., 144 pls.
- Tsukahara, H. 1951. List of fishes of Chikugo and Yabe Rivers. Sci. Bull. Fac. Agr., Kyushu Univ., 13 (1~4): 289~293. (In Japanese).
- Uchida, K. and H. Tsukahara. 1955. The fish-

fauna of Ariake Sound. Bull. Biogeograph. Soc. Japan,  $16 \sim 19$ :  $292 \sim 302$ , fig. 1. (In Japanese).

Wang, K. 1958. (Fish taxonomy). Shanghai Sci. Technol. Publ. Agency, Shanghai, 597 pp., 630 figs. (In Chinese).

Wang, W. 1963. (Engraulidae. In Y. Chu, T. Tchang, and C. Cheng, ed. Fishes of the East China Sea). Sci. Publ. Agency, Peking, 106~117, figs. 81~91. (In Chinese).

Wu, H. 1929. Study of the fishes of Amoy. Part 1. Contr. Biol. Lab. Sci. Soc. China, 5 (4):  $1 \sim 90$ , figs.  $1 \sim 70$ .

Yoshida, H. 1935. (Taxonomical investigation on the fishes of *Coilia* occurred in Japan and adjacent waters, with descriptions of their larvae and juveniles). Zool. Mag., 47 (566): 784~789, figs. 1~8. (In Japanese).

(Note: Titles in parentheses are originally given in Japanese or Chinese, and put into English by the author.)

(Faculty of Fisheries, Nagasaki University, 1-14, Bunkyo-machi, Nagasaki 852, Japan)

# 有明海産エツの同定

田北 徹

従来 Coilia sp. としていた有明海産エツの形態を, Coilia nasus Temminck et Schlegel, 1846, C. mystus (Linnaeus, 1758) および C. ectenes Jordan et Seale, 1905 の原記載, これら 3 種として報告されているその他の記載, および C. nasus, C. ectenes の模式標本と比較し, 次の結論に達した.

有明海産エツは C. nasus (和名, エツ)である.これは C. mystus とは形態的に明らかに異なる. C. nasus は,形態上 C. ectenes に近いが,臀鰭条数を初めとする計測値に若干の違いが認められる.これまで大陸から C. nasus として報告されているものの中に,形態が本種に完全に合致するものは認められなかった.

(852 長崎市文教町 1-14 長崎大学水産学部)