The use of otolith morphology in ichthyology

by Werner Schwarzhans





Hymenocephalus

Porogadus

Introductory Notes

- > Otoliths are a tool in paleontological systematics since 1884
- Otolith morphology is species and genus diagnostic in about 80% of all studied cases known to me (this is near the lower limit of ranges mentioned in literature)
- Ontogenetic changes can be significant with otoliths of juveniles exhibiting a more generalized morphology, and as a result the diagnostic value of juvenile otoliths is often low
- Intraspecific variability is very inconsistent from one species to another and hence requires investigation of more than one otolith specimen per species
- Sexual morphological dimorphism is rare (e.g. *Neobythites*)
- Morphological side dimorphism occurs in certain Pleuronectiformes
- Ontogenetic morphological dimorphism is rare (e.g. Pycnocraspedum, Spectrunculus)
- The term "Otolith" in this context refers to the "Sagitta" otolith

Knowledge Base

The knowledge of Recent otoliths has risen significantly in recent years

	Institutionalized, former private collections	Institutional collections	Online	
6000				6000
5000				5000
4000	Fitch (at LACM) Nolf (at IRSNB)			4000
3000	Schwarzhans (for ZMUC) Stinton (at BMNH) Weiler (at SMF)			3000
2000		e.g. AMS, MCZ, MNHN, NMMB, PEM		2000
1000			FORO online alogue	1000
0			Al cat	0

- Publications dealing with Recent otoliths are still lagging behind:
 - Otolith atlases cover some 3000 species: NE-Atlantic (CHAINE & DUVERGIER 1934-1958 in NOLF et al. 2009, HÄRKÖNEN 1986), NW-Atlantic (CAMPANA 2004), Japan (OHE 1985), Indo-Pacific (RIVATON & BOURRET 1999), South Africa (SMALE, WATSON & HECHT 1995), West Africa (VEEN & HOUDEMAKERS 2005), Taiwan (CHIEN-HSIANG & CHIH-WEI 2012), AFORO online catalogue
 - Systematic reviews including approx. 1000 species: Sciaenidae (SCHWARZHANS 1993), Pleuronectiformes (SCHWARZHANS 1999), Ophidiiformes (NOLF 1980, SCHWARZHANS 1981)
 - Otolith descriptions routinely included in ichthyological studies (approx. 200 species) only by Møller, Nielsen and Schwarzhans

Acknowledgements

The following documentation would have been impossible without the generous support of many colleagues and institutions, amongst others: AMS, BMNH, BPBM, BSKU, CAS, IORAS, LACM, MCZ, MNHN, NMNZ, NMV, NSMT, NTM, SAIAB, SMNS, USNM, WAM, ZMMGU, ZMH, ZMUC G. Allen, E. Anderson, D. Catania, G. Duhamel, H. Endo, R. Feeney, R. Fricke, K. Hartel, M. Gomon, M. McGrouther, P. Møller, S. Morrison, J. Nielsen, F. Ohe, J. Paxton, A. Prokofiev, G. Shinohara, J. Williams

My sincere thanks to all of them.

Terminology (Sagitta)



Sulcus, a grove, composed of **ostium** (anterior) and **cauda** (posterior), filled with the **colliculi** constituting the areas where the macula acustica touches the otolith

An additional colliculum, **pseudocolliculum**, is present below the caudal colliculum in Myctophidae or in the space ventrally between ostial and caudal colliculum in many Gadiformes

Rostrum, **antirostrum** and **excisura** are marks at the sulcus opening to the anterior rim of the otolith, when present

The shape of the dorsal and ventral rims as well as the surface of the inner face, thickness and curvature of otoliths and ornamentation of the outer face add many more diverse and varying characters

Otolith diversity



Function and functional morphology

The sulcus of the otolith mirrors the macula acustica, but the details of the hair cell regions do not seem to match morphological details in the sulcus



Macula acustica and orientation patterns of hair cells of different regions of the macula of *Coregonus clupeaformis*, overlying otolith shape (gray) (after Popper, 1976)



Otolith of *Megalops cyprinoides* for comparison. Note similarity of sulcus with shape of macula, but lack of differentiation of hair cell regions (left).



Comparison of macula with sulcus shape of *Bairdiella chrysoura*, one of the most aberrant otolith morphologies. The median line separating the hair cell regions follows the deep axis in the cauda and the outward bending in the ostium. (macula acustica after Ramcharitar et al., 2004)

- Recognition of functional morphological dependencies of otoliths are still at its infancy. Empirically, the following has been discussed:
 - Epipelagic fish tend to have thin, delicate otoliths; fast epipelagic swimmers small otoliths
 - Benthic fish tend to have large, massive otoliths and tend to develop simple sulcus morphologies with fused colliculi located at the center of the otolith
 - Fishes living at or below the CCD tend to develop small, thick otoliths with a flat inner face, a conical outer face and a simple oval sulcus
 - The highest morphological diversification is observed in otoliths of benthopelagic fishes



Acropomatidae – clearly two different families



work in progress by Prokofiev & Schwarzhans

Ophidiiformes – recurrent patterns and varying degrees of diagnostic value



Porogadus: work in progress by Schwarzhans & Møller - Neobythites and Diancistrus: published by Nielsen, Schwarzhans & Møller, various papers

A unique pattern – homology or analogy ?



Diaphus – otolith diversity in a species-rich genus



A high degree of diversity allows recognition of otolith species groups, which partly correlate with other groupings. Certain species may not be distinguishable by means of otoliths, specifically in the *D. theta* group.

manuscript submitted for publication by Schwarzhans

Hymenocephalus – sensual specializations

Hymenocephalus is remarkable for an advanced development of head sensory organs:

- 1. Very large head canal system covered by thin skin ("hymen"), which is rarely well preserved
- 2. Very large and diverse otoliths, amongst the largest compared to head size found in teleosts
- 3. Very large eyes located in caverns resembling parabolic mirrors







manuscript in preparation by Schwarzhans

Hymenocephalus – phylogenetic polarity in head and otolith morphology

Eye size, head shape and otolith morphology exhibit a remarkable parallel evolution and polarity



Otolith extraction and preservation

Otoliths are extracted from one side (usually the right side) through the gill opening by applying a cut in front of the first gill arch into the otic capsule

Otolith extraction is easy in: Myctophiformes Gadiformes Ophidiiformes Beryciformes most Scorpaeniformes most Percoidei Gobioidei

. . .

Otolith extraction is moderate, because of narrow gill opening: Anguilliformes Pleuronectiformes because of hard bones: some Percoidei many Trachinoidei small or delicate otoliths: Clupeiformes Cypriniformes Otolith extraction is difficult, because of no gill opening: Lophiiformes because of very thick bones: some Scombroidei Siluriformes very small otoliths: Syngnathiformes

Otoliths are dissolved by formalin. Even after transfer to alcohol, some formalin may remain in the brain capsule and continues its destructive work, but at a lesser pace (years instead of weeks or few months).

Therefore, please extract an otolith before this valuable character is lost, particularly in rare species.